### FROM THE COVER

### WILEY MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

### Sex matters in massive parallel sequencing: Evidence for biases in genetic parameter estimation and investigation of sex determination systems

Laura Benestan<sup>1,2</sup> | Jean-Sébastien Moore<sup>1,2</sup> | Ben J. G. Sutherland<sup>1,2</sup> | Jérémy Le Luyer<sup>1,2</sup> | Halim Maaroufi<sup>2</sup> | Clément Rougeux<sup>1,2</sup> | Eric Normandeau<sup>1,2</sup> | Nathan Rycroft<sup>3</sup> | Jelle Atema<sup>3</sup> | Les N. Harris<sup>4</sup> | Ross F. Tallman<sup>4</sup> | Spencer J. Greenwood<sup>5</sup> | Fraser K. Clark<sup>5</sup> | Louis Bernatchez<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Département de Biologie, Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Institut de Biologie Intégrative et des Systèmes (IBIS), Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>4</sup>Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Freshwater Institute, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>5</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences & AVC Lobster Science Centre, Atlantic Veterinary College, University of Prince Edward Island, Charlottetown, PE, Canada

#### Correspondence

Jean-Sébastien Moore, Département de Biologie, Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada.

Email: jean-sebastien.moore@bio.ulaval.ca

#### Funding information

NSERC CFRN; Fisheries and Oceans, Canada; Nunavut Wildlife Management Board; P.E.I. Atlantic Shrimp Corporation Inc., Grant/Award Number: 12-LSC-035; Atlantic Lobster Sustainability Measures Program

### Abstract

Using massively parallel sequencing data from two species with different life history traits, American lobster (Homarus americanus) and Arctic Char (Salvelinus alpinus), we highlight how an unbalanced sex ratio in the samples and a few sex-linked markers may lead to false interpretations of population structure and thus to potentially erroneous management recommendations. Here, multivariate analyses revealed two genetic clusters separating samples by sex instead of by expected spatial variation: inshore and offshore locations in lobster, or east and west locations in Arctic Char. To further investigate this, we created several subsamples artificially varying the sex ratio in the inshore/offshore and east/west groups and then demonstrated that significant genetic differentiation could be observed despite panmixia in lobster, and that F<sub>ST</sub> values were overestimated in Arctic Char. This pattern was due to 12 and 94 sex-linked markers driving differentiation for lobster and Arctic Char, respectively. Removing sex-linked markers led to nonsignificant genetic structure in lobster and a more accurate estimation of F<sub>ST</sub> in Arctic Char. The locations of these markers and putative identities of genes containing or nearby the markers were determined using available transcriptomic and genomic data, and this provided new information related to sex determination in both species. Given that only 9.6% of all marine/diadromous population genomic studies to date have reported sex information, we urge researchers to collect and consider individual sex information. Sex information is therefore relevant for avoiding unexpected biases due to sex-linked markers as well as for improving our knowledge of sex determination systems in nonmodel species.

#### KEYWORDS

conservation genetics, fisheries management, genotyping-by-sequencing, marine genomics, RADseq

### 1 | INTRODUCTION

The recent revolution in massively parallel sequencing (MPS) technology has led to the production of many genomewide data sets, whereby thousands of markers can be easily and inexpensively genotyped in hundreds of individuals for both model and nonmodel species (Andrews, Good, Miller, Luikart, & Hohenlohe, 2016; Davey et al., 2011). Several MPS studies based on either RAD-sequencing or genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) techniques have demonstrated that these markers bring unprecedented insights on the causes and consequences of population structuring (reviewed in Narum, Buerkle, Davey, Miller, & Hohenlohe, 2013). The strength of such methods comes from their expected approximate random sampling of the entire genome (Davey et al., 2013). While the random distribution of markers achieved by these methods is advantageous in many regards, it has one overlooked result that could have consequences for inferences of population structure: for species with genetic sex determination, some markers will be located on sex chromosomes or in regions linked to sex or sexually antagonistic selection. Indeed, Wright (1931) identified this bias in genetic parameter estimations. particularly when sampling populations with varying sex ratios or in the presence of sex-biased dispersal. Despite the potential importance of these biases, few MPS studies have focused on the analysis of sex-linked markers (but see Gamble & Zarkower, 2014; Kafkas, Khodaeiaminjan, Güney, & Kafkas, 2015; Brelsford, Dufresnes, & Perrin, 2016; Larson et al., 2016), and to our knowledge, none have investigated the influence of sex-linked markers on inferences of population structure observed.

In addition to the importance of avoiding potential biases, detecting sex-linked markers in MPS data sets can also provide valuable information on sex determination (Pan et al., 2016). Sex is common to almost all living animals and often leads to the evolution of male and female dimorphism, both at the genetic and phenotypic level (Bell, 1982). Diverse mechanisms acting at the scale of the genome, chromosomes or cells underlie the morphological, physiological and behavioural differences between males and females. Moreover, sex determination systems vary tremendously among and within taxa (Bachtrog et al., 2014), highlighting the challenges in determining the selective forces driving sex determination. In general, the diversity of sex determination systems reported in fish (particularly teleosts) and crustaceans is much more pronounced than that observed in mammals and birds (Bachtrog et al., 2014). However, the characterization of the genetic architecture of sex determination in these taxonomic groups has been limited to a few studies (Legrand, Legrand-Hamelin, & Juchault, 1987). The access to new genomic approaches, which are increasingly being used in nonmodel marine and aquatic organisms (Kelley, Brown, Therkildsen, & Foote, 2016), offers new prospects to investigate the molecular basis of sex determination in this diverse group.

The identification of sex-linked markers can also provide a wealth of other useful information for management, conservation and aquaculture (Pan et al., 2016). First, sex-linked markers can

assist in the identification of the sex of an individual, particularly in cases with an absence of clear sexual dimorphism (e.g., at young life history stages). In aquaculture, this can help farmers maintain equal sex ratios of breeding adults and implement efficient breeding programmes (Martínez et al., 2014). Second, sex information is often important to include as a covariate in genetic models for finding loci linked to specific traits in order to reduce residual variation (Broman & Sen, 2009). Third, knowing the sex of individuals may facilitate the demonstration of sex-biased dispersal, that is, when individuals of one sex are more prone to disperse (Prugnolle & De Meeûs, 2002). Sex-biased dispersal is common among vertebrates and can have important ecological and evolutionary consequences, but there is still little research on this topic in aquatic organisms, such as fishes and crustaceans, compared to mammals and birds (Mossman & Waser, 1999).

Here, we present two empirical examples that illustrate how a few sex-linked markers combined with an unbalanced sex ratio can lead to false interpretations of population structure and to erroneous management recommendations, especially in species with low genetic differentiation. Our initial goal was to separately investigate population structure between two groups of American lobsters (Homarus americanus) occupying different habitats (inshore and offshore), and between Arctic Char (Salvelinus alpinus) collected from two geographically separated regions (east and west) of the Canadian Arctic. In both cases, preliminary multivariate analyses mainly revealed two genetic clusters corresponding to male and female individuals instead of being related to inshore/offshore groups of lobsters or to east/west groups of Arctic Char. To further understand the clustering, we identified sexlinked markers driving the genetic differentiation between male and female in American lobster and Arctic Char. To demonstrate the potential impacts of sex-linked markers on the population genetic analyses, we tested for both species how different numbers of sexlinked markers and ratios of samples from each sex can cause biased inferences of population structure. Finally, using the set of sex-linked markers identified, we found potential candidate genes or chromosomal regions linked to sex for American lobster and Arctic Char, providing new information on sex determination and sexual dimorphism in the two species. We conclude with a literature search revealing that very few studies performed on marine and diadromous species report sex information. In the light of our findings, we recommend that molecular ecologists collect and, when possible, report sex information. An evaluation of the effects of sex-linked markers should probably be a routine step in analysing genomewide SNP data for species with low genetic differentiation and with a genetic or unknown sex determination system.

### 2 | METHODS

### 2.1 | Sampling and molecular techniques

American lobster: Commercial fishers collected 203 American lobsters (100 males and 103 females) from 13 sites including

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

WILEY

eight inshore sites and five offshore sites along the Atlantic coast of North America (Figure 1a; Table S1). The sex of all specimens was determined visually from obvious external morphological differences. Genomic DNA was then extracted using Qiagen Blood and Tissue kits. DNA quality was confirmed using visual inspection on 1% agarose gel followed by quantification with Quantit Picogreen dsDNA assay kits. RAD-sequencing libraries were prepared following the protocol from Benestan et al. (2015). Each individual was barcoded with a unique sixnucleotide sequence, and 48 individuals were pooled per library. Real-time PCR was used to quantify the libraries. Single-end, 100 bp sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq2000 platform at the Genome Québec Innovation Centre (McGill University, Montréal, Canada).

Arctic Char: Samples of 290 adult anadromous Arctic Char (142 males and 148 females) were collected from six rivers located on southern Victoria Island, Nunavut, Canada (Figure 1b; Table S2). Sex was determined visually by observation of the gonads for a subset (n = 174) and based on a genetic assay for another subset (n = 116), as described in Moore et al. (2016). In brief, the genetic sex was inferred based on the PCR assay described in Yano et al. (2013). Six individuals of known sex (three males and three females) were used as controls. Genomic DNA was extracted using a salt-extraction protocol modified from Aljanabi and Martinez (1997). DNA quality and quantity were checked on 1% agarose gels and using PicoGreen assays (Fluoroskan Ascent FL, Thermo Labsystems), respectively. Libraries were prepared based on a GBS protocol modified from Mascher, Wu, Amand, Stein, and Poland (2013). In brief, genomic



**FIGURE 1** Sampling locations for American lobster (a) and Arctic Char (b). (a) Inshore sampling locations are shown with a grey circle and offshore locations with a grey triangle. Inshore locations are Isle of Shoals (IOS; n = 14), Blue Hill Bay (MEB; n = 20), Frenchman's Bay (MEF; n = 19), Kittery (MKI; n = 20), Brown's bank (RBB; n = 17), Beavertail (RBT; n = 16), Narragansett Bay (RIN; n = 13), Rhode Island Sound Bay (RIS; n = 7). Offshore locations are George's Basin (OGS; n = 10), Hydrographers Canyon (OHC; n = 16), Jones Canyon (OJC; n = 12), MacMaster Canyon (OMU; n = 10) and Veatch Canyon (OVC; n = 16). (b) Eastern sampling locations are shown with a grey circle and Western locations with a grey triangle. Eastern locations are Ekalluk (EKA; n = 58), Jayko (JAY; n = 58), Surrey (SUR; n = 30). Western locations are Halokvik (HAL; n = 87) and Lauchlan (LAU; n = 57)

II **FY**-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

DNA was digested by incubating at 37°C for two hours with two restriction enzymes (*PstI* and *MspI*) followed by enzyme inactivation at 65°C for 20 min. Sequencing adaptors and a unique individual barcode were ligated to each sample using a ligation master mix including T4 ligase (ligation at 22°C for 2 hr followed by enzyme inactivation at 65°C for 20 min). Samples were pooled in multiplexes of 48 individuals, insuring that individuals from each sampling location were sequenced as part of at least six different multiplexes to avoid batch effects. Libraries were each sequenced on two lon Torrent Proton P1v2 chips at the Institute of Integrative and Systems Biology sequencing platform at Laval University.

### 2.2 | Bioinformatics and genotyping

Both the American lobster and Arctic Char libraries were de-multiplexed using *process\_radtags* in STACKS (v.1.29 for American lobster and v.1.40 for Arctic Char) (Catchen, Hohenlohe, Bassham, Amores, & Cresko, 2013). Raw sequencing data were checked in FASTQC (Andrews, 2010). Reads were truncated to 80 bp for lobster and 70 bp for Arctic Char, and adapter sequences were removed with CUTADAPT (Martin, 2011).

American lobster: Loci were identified allowing a maximum of three nucleotide mismatches (M = 3), according to Ilut, Nydam, and Hare (2014) and a minimum stack depth of three (m = 3), among reads with potentially variable sequences (ustacks module in stacks, with default parameters). Then, reads were clustered de novo to create a catalogue of putative RAD tags (cstacks module in STACKS, with default parameters). In the populations, module of STACKS v.1.29 and following consecutive filtering steps, SNPs were retained when they were genotyped in at least 80% of the individuals and found in at least 9 of the 12 sampling sites. Potential paralogs were excluded by removing markers showing heterozygosity >0.50 and  $0.30 < F_{IS} < -0.30$  within sites. Only SNPs with a global minor allele frequency >0.02 were retained for the analysis. The resulting filtered VCF files were converted into the file formats necessary for the following analyses using PGDSPIDER v.2.0.5.0 (Lischer & Excoffier, 2012).

Arctic Char: SNPs were identified by first mapping the reads to the genome of the closely related Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss; Berthelot et al., 2014) using GSNAP v2016-06-09 with a minimum of 90% read coverage (-min-cov 90), tolerating 2 mismatches (m 2) and setting an indel penalty to 2 (-i 2). A subsequent trimming step was conducted with samtools v1.2 (Li et al., 2009) to remove unmapped and multimapped reads using flags -F 1797 and -F 4, and a minimum mapping quality (MAPQ) of 1, respectively. The binary alignment files (bam) were then used as input for downstream analysis. Genotypes were obtained using STACKS v.1.40 integrated in a workflow developed in our laboratory (Benestan, Ferchaud, & Hohenlohe, 2016). The catalog of loci was created allowing no mismatches among loci in *cstacks* (n = 0) and a minimum stack depth of four (-m 4). SNPs were retained if at least 50% of the individuals were genotyped for the marker (-r 0.5) and the locus was present in at least four populations (-p 4). Potential paralogs were excluded by removing markers showing heterozygosity >0.60 and  $F_{IS} < -0.40$   $F_{IS} > 0.40$  within samples. Only SNPs with a global minor allele frequency >0.01 were retained for the analysis.

### 2.3 Discriminant analysis of principal component

For American lobster, discriminant analysis of principal component (DAPC) was performed in the R package *adegenet* (Jombart, Devillard, & Balloux, 2010). The optimal number of discriminant functions (n = 60) to retain was evaluated according to the optimal  $\alpha$ -score obtained from the data (Jombart et al., 2010). We performed a DAPC with prior information of sampling locations (K = 13) as the DAPC without prior did not reveal any genetic structure (number of genetic clusters inferred was K = 1). For Arctic Char, a DAPC was also performed in *ADEGENET*. As population differentiation was pronounced enough to be observed with the DAPC without prior, we identified genetic clusters de novo using the *find.clusters* function.

### 2.4 | Sex outlier loci detection

American lobster and Arctic Char: Outlier loci corresponding to the most divergent markers between sexes were identified with a level of differentiation between sexes exceeding random expectations using  $F_{ST}$ -based outlier analyses. Outlier SNPs were detected with BAYESCAN v. 2.1 (Foll & Gaggiotti, 2008). BAYESCAN runs were implemented using permissive prior model (pr\_odds) of 10, including a total of 10,000 iterations and a burn-in of 200,000 steps. For both species, these outlier analyses were conducted on the entire data set separated by sex.

# 2.5 | Sex ratio and sex-linked marker influence on index of genetic differentiation (*F*<sub>ST</sub>)

To determine the extent to which differing sex ratio influences the detected genetic structure, different proportions of male and female American lobsters or Arctic Char were subsampled from inshore or east and offshore or west, respectively, keeping a total of 50 individuals per group. This generated a gradient of six different sex-ratio data sets, representing different sampling bias scenarios, from the most balanced (sex ratio = 25:25/25:25) to the most unbalanced sex ratio (sex ratio = 0:50/50:0).

Considering the three most unbalanced sex-ratio data sets (i.e., 0:50/50:0, 5:45/45:5, 10:40/40:10), we removed sex-linked markers (i.e., here outlier SNPs) according to their  $F_{ST}$  values (in descending order) and we estimated  $F_{ST}$  between offshore/inshore for the American lobster and east/west for the Arctic Char. We calculated  $F_{ST}$  values using the function fst\_WC84 in *assigner* R package (Gosselin, Anderson, & Bradbury, 2016).

#### 2.6 | Marker annotation and genomic position

American lobster: There is no reference genome or high-density linkage map available for American lobster and so the approximate locations or associated linkage groups of the sex-linked SNPs could not be determined. Probable proximity between markers was determined by linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis by calculating LD between pairs of SNPs using the geno-r2 command available in VCFTOOLS (Danecek et al., 2011). The LD data frame obtained with VCFTOOLS was then transformed into an LD matrix to be analysed using the heatmap command in the R environment (R Development Core Team 2013). To determine what genes are associated with these sexlinked markers, the 12 candidate SNPs (outliers identified by BAYES-CAN) were queried using BLAST against the transcriptome of the American lobster (F. Clark and S. Greenwood, University of Prince Edward Island, personal communication; see details in Benestan, Quinn, & Maaroufi, 2016). Six of the 12 candidate SNPs were distributed among six different contigs in the transcriptome data. The associated contigs were used as gueries in a BLAST search against the SWISS-PROT database (Bairoch & Apweiler, 2000). A minimal E-value threshold of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  and per cent similarity of at least 70% were used. This yielded a set of two candidate SNPs associated with known genes. Gene ontology (GO) annotation terms were then associated with the candidate SNPs using SWISS-PROT accessions.

Arctic Char: There is no reference genome available yet for Arctic Char, but there is a high-density linkage map available for the closely related Brook Char (Sutherland et al., 2016). To obtain approximate positions of the sex-linked SNPs from Arctic Char, the MapComp method (Sutherland et al., 2016) was used to pair all of the Arctic Char markers with mapped Brook Char markers using the Atlantic Salmon genome (Lien et al., 2016; GenBank: GCA\_ 000233375.4) as the intermediate reference genome. This method can connect markers from two different linkage maps by mapping the markers to a reference genome, then pairing markers that map uniquely to the same place or close to each other in the reference genome. This was done as previously described (Sutherland et al., 2016), but with ten iterations to permit more than one anonymous marker pairing with a single mapped marker, as previously described (Narum et al., 2017) and with a 1 Mbp maximum distance between the paired markers on a reference genome. This yielded approximate positions for determining the number and identity of linkage groups associated with sex in Arctic Char. To determine which genes are associated with these linked markers, the sex-linked markers were used in a BLAST query against the annotated Atlantic Salmon genome (Lien et al., 2016; NCBI Genome ICSASG\_v2 reference Annotation Release 100).

## 2.7 | Literature search for marine and diadromous species population genomic studies

We performed an exhaustive literature search to document the proportion of population genomics studies that have reported the sex of the individuals analysed. More specifically, we focused on studies of marine/diadromous species published in peer-reviewed journals from January 2010 to 15 November 2016 using the ISI Web of Knowledge bibliographic database (Thomson Reuters, http://thom sonreuters.com). The following search keywords were used: (i) "genomics" AND "marine" AND "SNP" yielded 22 hits, – MOLECULAR ECOLOGY – WI

(iii) "population structure" AND "marine" AND "SNP" yielded 47 hits, (iii) "RAD-sequencing" AND "marine" yielded 39 hits and (iv) "population genomics" AND "marine" yielded 243 hits, (v) "population genomics" AND "anadromous" OR "catadromous" yielded 11 hits. From these hits, we narrowed the search further using the additional criteria. First, the paper needed to focus on a marine/diadromous animal and use a set of more than 1,000 SNP markers. Second, the paper needed to refer to population genomics or related areas such as outlier identification because these are the target areas of research likely to be influenced by the sex-ratio bias in sampling. A total of 38 and 14 publications were retained for marine and diadromous species, respectively (listed in Tables 1 and 2).

### 3 | RESULTS

## 3.1 | Artefactual population structure caused by sex-linked markers

For American lobster, using 1,717 filtered SNPs, discriminant analysis of principal component (DAPC) was performed on the 203 individuals successfully genotyped to investigate the extent of population structuring between offshore and inshore locations. Instead of finding significant genetic differences between inshore and offshore samples ( $F_{ST} = 0.0001$ ,  $Cl_{inf} = -0.0004$  and  $Cl_{sup} = 0.0006$ , *p*-value > .05; Figure 3a), the first axis of the DAPC highlighted a significant genetic differentiation between sexes ( $F_{ST} = 0.0057$ ,  $Cl_{inf} = 0.0031$  and  $Cl_{sup} = 0.0094$ , *p*-value = .0009), explaining 16.04% of the total genetic variation (Figure 2a).

For Arctic Char, using 6,147 filtered SNPs, the DAPC without prior identified a K = 6 as the optimal number of genetic clusters. Yet, the visualization of the DAPC results showed a strong clustering that explained 38.01% of the total genetic variation between two groups (axis 1; Figure 2c) not corresponding to any particular geographical region. Using the data on phenotypic and genetic sex, it was clear that samples mainly clustered by sex in this DAPC (Figure 2c) and that this genetic differentiation was significant ( $F_{ST} = 0.0134$ ,  $Cl_{inf} = 0.01063$  and  $Cl_{sup} = 0.01676$ , *p*-value = 0.0002). For both species, the other dimensions of the DAPC analyses did not show any geographical population structure or obvious clustering.

# 3.2 | Delineating the influence of sex ratio on $F_{ST}$ in panmictic or anadromous species

Two DAPCs were run on data sets containing only males for offshore or east region and only females for inshore or west locations for American lobster and Arctic Char, respectively (Figure 2b,d). As expected, the DAPC for American lobster showed a significant signal of genetic differentiation between inshore and offshore samples with a  $F_{ST}$  value in the range typically seen in many marine species ( $F_{ST} = 0.0056$ , 95%  $Cl_{inf} = 0.0027$  and  $Cl_{sup} = 0.0088$ , *p*-value < .05), which in reality resulted from the extremely skewed sex ratio of this artificial data set (Figure 2b,d). This outcome contrasts with II FY- MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

**TABLE 1** Marine population genomics studies describing the organism studied, the method used to produce the genetic markers, the number of individuals sampled (*N*), the number of genetic markers (SNPs), the number of individuals sampled per location ( $N_{POP}$ ), the index of genetic differentiation observed among the location studied ( $F_{ST}$ )

Study	Organism	Method	Ν	SNPs	Sex	N <sub>POP</sub>	F <sub>ST</sub>
Araneda, Larraín, Hecht, and Narum (2016)	Mytilus chilensis	RAD-seq	220	1,240	No	25–39	0.005
Benestan et al. (2015)	Homarus americanus	RAD-seq	586	10,156	Yes	30–36	0.0018
Benestan, Quinn, et al. (2016)	Homarus americanus	RAD-seq	562	13,688	Yes	30–36	0.0018
Berg et al. (2015)	Gadus morhua	SNP-array	194	8,809	No	8–48	0.0002-0.0709
Berg et al. (2016)	Gadus morhua	SNP-array	141	8,168	No	42–51	0.00123-0.0008
Boehm, Waldman, Robinson, and Hickerson (2015)	Hippocampus erectus	RAD-seq	23	11,708	No	5–9	0.0454–0.1012
Bruneaux et al. (2013)	Gasterosteus aculeatus	RAD-seq	288	6,834	Yes	48	Unkn.
Cammen, Schultz, Rosel, Wells, and Read (2015)	Tursiops truncatus	RAD-seq	156	7,431	No	12–26	Unkn.
Chu, Kaluziak, Trussell, and Vollmer (2014)	Nucella lapillus	RAD-seq	30	4,000	No	Unkn.	0.0004–0.0474
Corander, Majander, Cheng, and Merilä (2013)	Clupea harengus	RAD-seq	2 <sup>a</sup>	4,756	No	6	0.005
Ferchaud et al. (2014)	Gasterosteus aculeatus	RAD-seq	60	33,993	No	20	0.056–0.111
Ferchaud and Hansen (2016)	Gasterosteus aculeatus	RAD-seq	177	28,888	No	20	0.002–0.458
Galindo et al. (2010)	Littorina saxatilis	454 seq	30	2,454	Yes	15	0.03
Gleason and Burton (2016)	Chlorostoma funebralis	RAD-seq	90	1,861	No	15	0.0042
Guo, DeFaveri, Sotelo, Nair, and Merilä (2015)	Gasterosteus aculeatus	RAD-seq	10 <sup>a</sup>	30,871	No	36	0.0282
Hohenlohe et al. (2010)	Gasterosteus aculeatus	RAD-seq	100	45,000	No	20	0.0020-0.1391
Jackson et al. (2014)	Epinephelus striatus	RAD-seq	620	4,234	No	14–32	0.002
Lal, Southgate, Jerry, and Zenger (2016)	Pinctada margaritifera	RAD-seq	156	5,243	No	32–50	0.046
Lamichhaney et al. (2012)	Clupea harengus	RNA-seq	400	440,817	No	50	Unkn.
Miller et al. (2016)	Haliotis rubra	GBS	80	1,180	No	10	0.003
Le Moan, Gagnaire, and Bonhomme (2016)	Engraulis encrasicolus	RAD-seq	128	5,638	No	24–64	Unkn.
Moura et al. (2014)	Orcinus orca	RAD-seq	115	3,281	No	6–21	0.0346–0.334
Nayfa and Zenger (2016)	Pinctada maxima	SNP-array	85	1,130	No	25–33	-0.043-0.004
Pecoraro et al. (2016)	Thunnus albacares	RAD-seq	100	6,772	No	10	0.0273
Picq, McMillan, and Puebla (2016)	Hypoplectrus spp	RAD-seq	126	97,962	No	13–43	0.0042
Poćwierz-Kotus et al. (2015)	Gadus morhua	SNP-array	95	7,944	No	26–40	0.034
Reitzel, Herrera, Layden, Martindale, and Shank (2013)	Nematostella vectensis	RAD-seq	30	2,759	No	4–7	0.286–0.622
Rodríguez-Ezpeleta et al. (2016)	Scomber scombrus	RAD-seq	122	29,394	No	15–29	0.0157–0.039
Sodeland et al. (2016)	Gadus morhua	SNP-array	378	9,187	No	43–48	0.000-0.0189
Stockwell et al. (2015)	Scarus niger	RAD-seq	81	4,253	No	24–30	0.007
Xu et al. (2016)	Bathymodiolus platifrons	RAD-seq	28	9,307	No	10–18	0.0126
Zhang et al. (2016)	Larimichthys polyactis	RAD-seq	24	27,556	No	12	< 0.001
Bradbury et al. (2010)	Gadus morhua	EST seq	300	1,641	No	15–26	Unkn.
Jones et al. (2012)	Gasterosteus aculeatus	SNP-array	121	1,159	No	4 o 6	0.031–0.383
Therkildsen et al. (2013)	Clupea harengus	EST seq	508	1,047	No	14–37	0.000-0.086
Tepolt and Palumbi (2015)	Carcinus maenas	EST seq	84	10,809	No	12	0.003–0.134
Bay and Palumbi (2014)	Acropora hyacinthus	EST seq	23	15,399	No	10–13	Unkn.
De Wit and Palumbi (2013)	Haliotis rufescens	EST seq	26	21,579	No	1–13	0.0003

<sup>a</sup>Samples are pools.

EST, expressed sequence tag; RAD-seq, restriction-associated DNA sequencing; GBS, genotyping by sequencing.

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY -W

**TABLE 2** Anadromous or catadromous (in the case of eels) population genomics studies describing the organism studied, the study goal, the method used to produce the genetic markers (Section 2), the number of individuals sampled (N), the number of genetic markers (SNPs), the number of individuals sampled per location ( $N_{POP}$ ), the index of genetic differentiation observed among the location studied ( $F_{ST}$ )

Study	Organism	Study goal	Method	Ν	SNPs	Sex	N <sub>POP</sub>	F <sub>ST</sub>
Bourret et al. (2013)	Salmo salar	Pop. structure and outliers	SNP-array	1,431	6,176	No	20–72	0.025–0.758
Candy et al. (2015)	Thaleichthys pacificus	Pop. structure and outliers	RAD-seq	494	4,104	No	22–71	0.000–0.0128
Drywa et al. (2013)	Salmo trutta	Pop. structure	SNP-array	24	15,225	No	12	0.029
Hess, Campbell, Close, Docker, and Narum (2013)	Entosphenus tridentatus	Pop. structure and outliers	RAD-seq	518	4,439	No	4–35	0.021
Jacobsen et al. (2014)	Anguilla spp.	Speciation; Outliers	RAD-seq	60	328,300	No	8–15	0.041
Johnston et al. (2014)	Salmo salar	Pop. structure and outliers	SNP-array	503	4,353	Yes	49–260	0.0103
Laporte et al. (2016)	Anguilla spp.	Parallelism; Outliers	RAD-seq	179	23,659 14,755	No	21–24	0.000–0.001
Larson et al. (2014)	Oncorhynchus tshawytscha	Pop. structure and outliers	RAD-seq	270	10,944	No	47–56	0.003–0.098
Moore et al. (2014)	Salmo salar	Pop. structure and outliers	SNP-array	9,142	3,192	No	9–100	0.043
Brieuc, Ono, Drinan, and Naish (2015)	Oncorhynchus tshawytscha	Adaptive divergence	RAD-seq	414	9,107	No	21–41	0.000–0.33
Ogden et al. (2013)	Acipenser spp.	Pop. structure	RAD-seq	319	140,260	No	8–115	Unknown
Pavey et al. (2015)	Anguilla rostrata	Pop. structure and outliers	RAD-seq	379	42,424	No	21–24	<0.001
Pujolar et al. (2014)	Anguilla anguilla	Pop. structure and outliers	RAD-seq	259	50,354	No	30–37	<0.001
Rougemont et al. (2016)	Lampetra spp.	Pop. structure and outliers	RAD-seq	338	8,962	No	29–53	0.042-0.207

Pop. structure, population structure; RAD-seq, restriction-associated DNA sequencing.

FIGURE 2 Discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) of genetic differentiation depending on the sampling scenario. Results of the DAPC performed on American lobster (a) and Arctic Char (c), respectively, with sex information included. Individuals from the inshore/east and offshore/west regions are represented by different shape symbols, and male and female are represented by black and white symbols, respectively. (b and d) Results of the DAPC performed on American lobster (c) and Arctic Char (d), respectively, but using hypothetical data sets in which only males were sampled in one of the location (offshore and west, respectively) and only female in the other location (inshore and east, respectively) showing a false signal of population differentiation driven by differences in sex ratios



II FY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

the panmictic structure observed between inshore and offshore ( $F_{ST} = 0.0001$ ,  $CI_{inf} = -0.0004$  and  $CI_{sup} = 0.0006$ , *p*-value > .05) when sex ratio is balanced (sex ratio in the original data set is equal to 25:25/25:25). As expected,  $F_{ST}$  between inshore and offshore was highest and most significant when sex ratio was completely unbalanced, that is, sex ratio equal to 0 ( $F_{ST} = 0.0055$ ,  $CI_{inf} = 0.0030$  and  $CI_{sup} = 0.0092$ , *p*-value < .05).  $F_{ST}$  remained significant until the sex ratio was 15:35/35:15 ( $F_{ST} < 0.001$ ,  $CI_{inf} < 0$ ; *p*-value > .05; Figure 3a).

Following the same method described above to simulate differing sex-ratio data sets,  $F_{ST}$  between east and west Arctic Char locations was highest and most significant when sex ratio was completely unbalanced, that is, sex ratio equal to 0:50/50:0 ( $F_{ST} = 0.0215$ ,  $Cl_{inf} = 0.0194$  and  $Cl_{sup} = 0.0242$ , *p*-value < .05).  $F_{ST}$  then gradually decreased with increasingly even sex ratios until it reached

 $F_{ST} = 0.0064$  (Cl<sub>inf</sub> = 0.0055 and Cl<sub>sup</sub> = 0.0072; *p*-value < .05) with a sex ratio of 25:25/25:25 (Figure 3b).

## 3.3 | Identifying sex-linked markers in American lobster and Arctic Char

Of the 1,717 SNPs initially considered for the American lobster, BAYESCAN identified 12 highly differentiated markers between the sexes (Fig. S3). These 12 markers have a BAYESCAN  $F_{ST}$  of 0.0800 on average between the sexes (range = 0.0202–0.1567) whereas the remaining 1,705 SNPs have an average  $F_{ST}$  of 0.0030 (range = 0.0000–0.0101).  $F_{ST}$  values for these 12 outlier markers were significantly larger than the remaining 1,705 SNPs (Wilcoxon test, *p*-value < .0001). Moreover, 10 of these 12 highly differentiated markers were also found in the list of the top 100 SNPs, which



**FIGURE 3** Boxplots showing the influence of sampling sex ratio on  $F_{ST}$ . (a) American lobster. The  $F_{ST}$  between offshore and inshore is shown according to sex-ratio proportion when subsampling 100 individuals with a sex ratio ranging from a complete unbalanced sex ratio (i.e., sex ratio equal to 0:50/50:0) to a perfectly balanced sex ratio (i.e., sex ratio equal to 25:25/25:25). The horizontal black dashed line indicates the threshold below which  $F_{ST}$  values are no longer significant at p < .05. (b) Arctic Char. The  $F_{ST}$  between east and west is shown according to the sex-ratio proportion when subsampling 100 individuals with a sex ratio ranging from a complete unbalanced sex ratio (i.e., sex ratio equal to 0:50/50:0) to a perfectly balanced sex ratio (i.e., sex ratio equal to 25:25/25:25). The horizontal black dashed line indicates equal to 0:50/50:0) to a perfectly balanced sex ratio (i.e., sex ratio equal to 25:25/25:25). For was still significant for the anadromous, but was overestimated in the skewed sex-ratio cases. In both panels, the vertical limits of the box represent one standard deviation around the mean (n = 10 individual subsample iterations), the horizontal line within the box is the median, and the whiskers extend from the box to the 25th and 75th percentiles

6775

contribute the most to the first axis of the DAPC in American lobster.

Of the 6,147 markers initially considered for Arctic Char, BAYES-CAN identified 94 markers contributing to the male/female separation (Fig. S3). These 94 markers show a BAYESCAN  $F_{ST}$  of 0.0421 between the sexes (range = 0.0039–0.1140) whereas the remaining 6,053 markers had an average  $F_{ST}$  of 0.0019 between the sexes (range = 0.0000–0.0036). The  $F_{ST}$  values of these 94 outlier markers were significantly larger than the  $F_{ST}$  values of the remaining 6,053 SNPs (Wilcoxon test, *p*-value < .0001). Of these 94 markers, 80 were also found in the list of the top 100 SNP markers that are contributing the most to the first DAPC dimensions of the Arctic Char (i.e., the dimension that groups male and female together).

# 3.4 | Delineating the influence of sex ratio on $F_{ST}$ in panmictic or anadromous species

We investigated the influence of the number of these 12 and 94 sex-linked markers on the index of genetic differentiation ( $F_{ST}$ ) calculated between inshore/offshore or east/west for both species, where sex ratio in sampling was unbalanced at different degrees (0:50/50:0, 5:45/45:5, 10:40/40:10). For American lobster, we observed high and significant  $F_{ST}$  values when no sex-linked marker was removed for the three scenarios. Then,  $F_{ST}$  progressively decreased with the removal of sex-linked markers (in descending order

regarding their  $F_{ST}$  values) until reaching a small and nonsignificant value when we removed at least 11 of 12 sex-linked markers for the most extreme scenario (0:50/50:0; Figure 4a). For Arctic Char,  $F_{ST}$  progressively decreased from 0.0192 to 0.0064 on average, considering all scenarios, which suggested that  $F_{ST}$  is more than threefold smaller when sex-linked markers are removed from the data set (Figure 4b). This decrease reached a plateau when 80 sex-linked markers were removed, which corresponds to almost the totality (n = 94) of the sex-linked markers found.

# 3.5 | Characterizing sex-linked markers in American lobster

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) calculations for the 12 sex-linked markers in American lobster revealed two clusters of markers in high LD (Fig. S4). One of the clusters includes seven markers with the strongest genetic differentiation between the sexes ( $F_{ST} > 0.40$ ; Table 3). Six of these markers displayed heterozygosity excess in males ( $H_O = 0.49$ ,  $H_O$  ranging from 0.16 to 0.63) and heterozygosity deficit in females ( $H_O < 0.02$ ;  $H_O$  ranging from 0.00 to 0.29), thus providing evidence for a male heterogametic system.

The identities of genes near the sex-linked SNPs in lobster were further explored in the six contigs containing the six sex-linked SNP markers, which were located in sequences that had a significant match (more than 90% of nucleotide identity) in the American



**FIGURE 4** The effect of sex-linked markers on the index of genetic differentiation ( $F_{ST}$ ). (a) American lobster. The line graph displays the influence of sex-linked markers on  $F_{ST}$  as a function of the number of sex-linked markers removed from the analysis considering three sampling scenario (10:40/40:10, 5:45/45:5, 0:50/50:0). Sex-linked markers are removed in descending order according to their  $F_{ST}$  values (see Table 1). The dashed line in black indicates the threshold below which  $F_{ST}$  values are no longer significant at p < .05. Sex ratio of 0.4 and 0.5 were not included in this analysis because  $F_{ST}$  values were not significant in these cases (b) Arctic char. The line graph displays the influence of sex-linked markers on  $F_{ST}$  as a function of the number of sex-linked markers removed from the analysis considering three sampling scenario with different degrees of sex-ratio bias (0:50/50:0, 5:45:45:5, 10:40/40:10). Sex-linked markers are removed in descending order according to their  $F_{ST}$  values

**NIL FY** MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

**TABLE 3** American lobster. Observed heterozygosity ( $H_o$ ), expected heterozygosity ( $H_e$ ), inbreeding coefficient ( $F_{is}$ ), *p*-value associated with inbreeding coefficient (*p*-value) and genetic differentiation index ( $F_{ST}$ ) between sexes (females, *n* = 100; males, *n* = 103) for 12 highly sex-linked markers identified with BAYESCAN. Markers showing the strongest genetic differentiation between both sexes and belonging to the same LD the cluster are in bold (see Fig. S4)

	Females				Males	E			
Marker	H。	H <sub>e</sub>	F <sub>is</sub>	p-Value	Ho	H <sub>e</sub>	F <sub>is</sub>	p-Value	. 51
1951841	0.010	0.010	0.000	1.000	0.605	0.496	-0.220	0.027	0.560
3534313	0.000	0.000	_	—	0.634	0.498	-0.273	0.008	0.543
2341697	0.291	0.504	0.423	0.002	0.311	0.383	0.188	0.056	0.514
703660	0.011	0.011	0.000	1.000	0.628	0.501	-0.253	0.013	0.470
1713801	0.000	0.021	1.000	0.006	0.615	0.499	-0.231	0.029	0.440
2033018	0.011	0.032	0.664	0.020	0.563	0.498	-0.130	0.162	0.425
2879520	0.011	0.032	0.664	0.022	0.524	0.493	-0.064	0.371	0.401
434792	0.021	0.041	0.493	0.039	0.484	0.389	-0.244	0.017	0.214
1757708	0.280	0.415	0.326	0.003	0.500	0.485	-0.031	0.462	0.166
1525333	0.261	0.496	0.473	0.001	0.323	0.411	0.215	0.033	0.141
2341745	0.000	0.044	1.000	0.001	0.591	0.496	-0.192	0.052	0.108
794307	0.156	0.373	0.581	0.001	0.156	0.162	0.037	0.525	0.077

lobster transcriptome. The polymorphisms associated with two of these sequences both occurred in the 3'UTR region of the genes annotated by SWISS-PROT database. These genes were *sulfotransferase family cytosolic 1B member 1* (hereafter *SULT1B1*) and *premRNA-splicing factor cwf19* (hereafter *cwf19*) and are involved in steroid metabolism and mRNA splicing, respectively. Both genes that were previously reported to influence sex determination in fishes (Devlin & Nagahama, 2002), namely in European Eel (*Anguilla anguilla;* Churcher et al., 2015) and Turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus;* Ribas et al., 2015).

## 3.6 Characterizing sex-linked markers and chromosomes in Arctic Char

From the 6,147 markers, 1,837 could be assigned to the Brook Char linkage map with approximate positions, including 45 of the 94 sexlinked markers. Plotting these markers along their approximate locations in the Brook Char linkage map indicates four chromosome arms, which in Brook Char are all acrocentric chromosomes, with more than five sex-linked markers present in each: BC13 (eight markers), BC15 (12 markers), BC35 (six markers) and BC38 (10 markers; Figure 5), which correspond to the ancestral chromosomes 14.1, 19.1, 15.1, 1.2, respectively (Sutherland et al., 2016). Three other linkage groups had three or fewer sex-linked markers each (BC07, BC08 and BC25; or 20.1-4.2, 11.2-7.1 and 1.1, respectively).

Using BLAST to align the 94 sex-linked markers against the Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*), reference genome (Lien et al., 2016; GenBank GCA\_000233375.4) consistently identified the Atlantic Salmon chromosomes homologous to the Brook Char chromosomes that were assigned using iterative MapComp. An additional nine of the 49 sex-linked markers that we could not position with MapComp had significant hits against the Atlantic Salmon chromosomes

corresponding to the four highly sex-linked chromosomes, Ssa01, Ssa10 and Ssa09 (Ssa09 corresponds to a fused metacentric chromosome that corresponds to BC35 and BC38; Sutherland et al., 2016). Four nonpositioned markers were assigned to chromosomes not identified as the four highly sex-linked chromosomes. Often the markers that had not received positions with iterative MapComp either did not have significant alignments or had many equal alignments in the Atlantic Salmon genome.

Using BLAST against the annotated Atlantic Salmon genome, 28 of the 94 markers were found within a gene (Table S3). For the remaining markers not found in a gene but with significant alignments, the closest upstream and downstream genes were identified along with the distance from the marker to the gene. Two sex-linked markers positioned on the Brook Char sex chromosome (BC35), SNP 86986 and SNP 87087, were on either side of transcription factor SOX-11-like, a member of the SRY-related HMG-box gene family associated with sex determination (Graves, 1998; Woram et al., 2003). This gene was the closest annotated gene to these markers in the downstream or upstream direction, respectively, although the distance was large (~280 kb in each direction). Other identified genes containing sex-linked markers are involved in chromosome segregation and recombination (e.g., nipped-B-like protein, nuclear pore complex protein Nup93, bloom syndrome protein and centrosomal protein of 164 kDa) as well as others in Table S3.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

# 4.1 | Sex-ratio bias in genotyping-by-sequencing studies

Sex-linked markers are expected to be present in most massively parallel sequencing genomic projects targeting species with a genetic



**FIGURE 5** Manhattan plot of BAYESCAN  $F_{ST}$  between the sexes for Arctic Char markers positioned on the Brook Char genetic map. Anonymous Arctic Char markers were assigned positions on the Brook Char linkage map using multiple iterations of MapComp to identify linkage groups that were associated with sex in Arctic Char. Plotting the BAYESCAN  $F_{ST}$  along with marker positions indicates four linkage groups show strong linkage to sex: BC13, 15, 35 and 38. All positioned markers are displayed, and crosses indicate significant BAYESCAN  $F_{ST}$ markers. Markers that are not associated with sex have very low  $F_{ST}$  and can be seen along all of the linkage groups at the bottom of the graph

basis for sex determination (Gamble & Zarkower, 2014). The number of such markers will depend on the size of the sex chromosomes in species with heterogametic sex-determining chromosomes, or on the size of linkage disequilibrium blocks surrounding the sex-determining gene in species with genetic sex determination but without sex chromosomes. While the number of such markers in a data set will determine the strength of their impacts on inferences, our results clearly demonstrate that such markers jointly with an unbalanced sex ratio in sampling has the potential to lead to biased assessments of population structure. This, in turn, may result in misinterpreting the biology of the species being investigated, possibly leading to improper management recommendations. For instance, in the case of the lobster study here, with an unbalanced sex ratio this could have led to the conclusion that inshore and offshore lobsters comprise two genetically distinct stocks (and therefore distinct management units) while in reality they comprise a single panmictic unit. This bias is particularly critical for high geneflow species characterized by very weak population structuring, which is typical of many marine and diadromous species. In such cases, only a few highly differentiated markers (here 0.7% and 1.5% of the total filtered markers for American lobster and Arctic Char, respectively) can generate a signal of significant genetic differentiation or inflate the signal in the cases of panmictic or low population differentiation, respectively. Some of the sex ratios considered here are definitely extreme and should be considered as merely illustrative of the potential effects of the presence of sex-linked markers. Yet our results show that in some cases, even a small bias in sex ratio (e.g., 20:30 in lobster) could in some comparisons lead to significant but false population structure. These outcomes highlight the importance of considering sex information of sampled individuals to draw accurate conclusions about population structure of nonmodel species using genomewide data sets.

Moreover, sex ratio is obviously an important characteristic of a population and is tightly linked to its dynamics. Therefore, gaining this information is valuable for an efficient and well-designed management plan, especially considering that sex ratio can vary widely in nature. For instance, sex-biased dispersal will strongly affect sex ratio, and this is widespread in birds and mammals (Pusey, 1987) but still poorly investigated in marine organisms (Burgess, Baskett, Grosberg, Morgan, & Strathmann, 2015). Identifying sex-linked markers for identifying the genetic sex of sampled individuals may enable further studies documenting sex-biased dispersal (Yano et al., 2013) as well as overcoming the influence of an unbalanced sex ratio on the analyses of genetic structure.

# 4.2 Sex-ratio information in population genomic studies of marine and diadromous species

Population genomics studies of marine and diadromous animals have become increasingly frequent in recent years, going from a single published article in 2010 to 52 (38 for marine and 14 for diadromous species) articles in 2016 (based on our selective criteria; Table 1). The literature search we performed indicates that in only 9.6% of all studies (5/52; Galindo, Grahame, & Butlin, 2010; Bruneaux et al., 2013; Johnston et al., 2014; Benestan et al., 2015; Benestan, Quinn et al., 2016) reported information about the sex of the sampled individuals. Most of these studies have a sample size comparable to those of the present study (118 and 359 samples on median for marine and diadromous MPS studies, respectively) as well as a comparable number of individuals sampled per location (median N per location range = 20-38). In the majority of these studies, the number of markers genotyped was higher than ours (7,688 and 9,107 SNPs on median for marine and diadromous MPS studies, respectively) but as we demonstrate that only 12 and 94 sex-linked markers (0.7% and 1.5% of our total initial MPS data sets) were sufficient to create a signal suggestive of genetic structure, a greater number of markers will not overcome the influence of a small proportion of sex-linked markers in a high geneflow system such as that observed in the majority of marine species. Although several studies listed here may have collected this information, this was not reported in their paper. It is plausible that these studies have already assessed the influence of sex on their genomic data set. Here, however, we recommend that researchers should systematically report this information, given that these biases have now been identified.

As many MPS studies currently under way may not have access to sex information, one alternative way of overcoming the potential bias resulting from sex-ratio differences would be to statistically assess the presence of two genetic clusters not associated with geography or other a priori factors hypothesized to influence genetic structure. Then, one could run a BAYESCAN defining groups based on the two observed clusters and assess the level of heterozygosity shown by the outlier markers found, as we did for the American lobster. However, the heterozygosity method will only work if the sexlinked markers are within a sex-determining region that is not present on the alternate sex chromosome (i.e., only on Y), or if the sex chromosomes are largely heteromorphic. Nevertheless, this could help MPS studies to ensure that this bias is not present when interpreting patterns of genetic structure.

### 4.3 Sex determination in the American lobster

In crustaceans, as in many other species, sex is determined either by male (XX/XY) or female heterogamety (ZZ/ZW). However, sex chromosomes are difficult to identify in crustaceans because of the large number of chromosomes (e.g., on average 110 chromosomes for American lobster; Hughes, 2014) and the small chromosome size (Legrand et al., 1987). Although markers associated with sex determination can be identified by approaches such as that used here, or as conducted in salmon lice (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*, Carmichael et al., 2013, but see also Gamble & Zarkower, 2014), most of the crustacean sex-determining systems are poorly understood and understudied (Legrand et al., 1987). Taking advantage of RADsequencing, we provide the first evidence of a male heterogametic system in the American lobster (XX/XY). This conclusion is in agreement with one review reporting that male heterogamy is more common in *Subphylum* Crustacea than in the majority of other invertebrate species (Legrand et al., 1987). In addition, we demonstrate the potential to efficiently uncover the sex chromosome system of a nonmodel species using a genomewide data set and analysis of heterozygosity excess or deficit.

# 4.4 | Candidate genes involved in sexual differentiation in American lobster

We identified two candidate genes linked to sex in American lobster: SULT1B1, which is involved in steroid metabolism, and cwf19, which acts on pre-RNA splicing. Steroids play important roles in regulating physiological functions related to reproduction and sex differentiation in fishes (James, 2011). Sulfotransferase genes, such as SULT1, are linked to sex determination in house mouse (Mus musculus; Dunn, Gleason, & Hartley, 1999), mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis; Atasaral Şahin et al., 2015), European Eel (Anguilla anguilla; Churcher et al., 2015) and Turbot (Scophthalmus maximus; Ribas et al., 2015). For instance, sulfotransferase 6B1-like gene (SULT6B1) was expressed at higher levels in the livers of sexually mature European Eel males relative to females (Churcher et al., 2015). In addition, one sulfotransferase gene (hs3st1 l2) was associated with differential expression between sexes at sexual maturity in Turbot (Ribas et al., 2015). Interestingly, this study also identified cwf19 gene as a putative sexdetermining gene in the Turbot (Ribas et al., 2015).

Both candidate polymorphisms occurred in the 3'UTR region of *SULT1B1* (SNP 2879519) and *cwf19* gene (SNP 1525332). In particular, the polymorphism located in the 3'UTR region of *SULT1B1* displayed heterozygosity excess in males and heterozygosity deficit in females (see Table 3). The 3'UTR regions have an important role in post-transcriptional control of gene expression (Hesketh, 2004; Barrett, Fletcher, & Wilton, 2012). Here, polymorphisms found in *SULT1B1* and *cwf19* gene may thus affect transcription, as was documented for European Eel (*SULT6B1* was overexpressed in liver of sexually mature males; Churcher et al., 2015) and Turbot (*cwf19* was underexpressed in females; Ribas et al., 2015). Although the functional annotation for these two genes in American lobster is unknown, future work may provide information on the sex determination system of this species.

### 4.5 | Chromosomes and genes associated with sexlinked markers in Arctic Char

Four of 50 chromosome arms contained more than five sex-linked markers each. These are chromosome arms 1.2, 14.1, 15.1 and

19.1 as per the Northern Pike chromosome (Esox lucius, Rondeau et al., 2014) naming conventions outlined by Sutherland et al. (2016). Although previously only a low-density map was available for Arctic Char (Timusk et al., 2011), recently a high-density genetic map was generated and homology comparisons were made with several species, including Chinook Salmon (Nugent, Easton, Norman, Ferguson, & Danzmann, 2017). As the Brook Char map was also corresponded to Chinook Salmon, it was possible to identify which Arctic Char chromosomes contain sex-linked markers from the present study. Interestingly, in Arctic Char, the sex chromosome is a triple fused chromosome, corresponding to Chinook Salmon chromosomes Ots14g-Ots19-Ots08p (Nugent et al., 2017), which correspond to ancestral 1.2-19.1-15.1 (Sutherland et al., 2016). These are three of the four chromosome arms identified here that each contain more than five sex-linked markers. Additionally, BC15 (19.1) is also homologous to the neo-Y chromosome of Sockeye Salmon (Faber-Hammond, Phillips, & Park, 2012). Finally, the other chromosome, BC13 (or 14.1), is homeologous to 14.2, which is the Rainbow Trout sex chromosome (omy-Sex; Palti et al., 2015; Sutherland et al., 2016). For further discussion of these consistencies across the salmonid phylogeny in terms of sex chromosomes, see Sutherland, Rico, Audet, and Bernatchez (2017).

BC35 is the chromosome arm containing the sex-determining gene in Brook Char but not in Arctic Char; in Arctic Char, it is contained within the homologous chromosome to BC38, which is in a triple fusion with BC35 and BC15 (Nugent et al., 2017; Sutherland et al., 2017). On BC35, sex-linked markers are present on both sides (~280 kb up or downstream) of the SOX-11-like gene (markers 86986 and 87087), according to the annotation from the Atlantic Salmon genome (see Section 2). This is noteworthy given the role of the Sox (SRY-related) family in sex determination (Graves, 1998). This is the closest annotated gene downstream to marker 86986 or upstream to 87087. Several other sex-linked markers were within genes related to recombination and chromosome segregation, which is interesting given the heterochiasmy observed in the salmonids (i.e., recombination rate differences between the sexes; Sakamoto et al., 2000). Genes containing sexlinked markers that are related to recombination included nipped-Blike protein (on BC13), involved in holding sister chromatids together during cell division (Losada, 2014), bloom syndrome protein (on BC15), involved in homologous recombinational repair of double strand breaks during meiosis to suppress crossovers, centrosomal protein of 164 kDa (CEP164; on BC38), a centrosomal protein involved in cell cycle and chromosomal segregation (Sivasubramaniam, Sun, Pan, Wang, & Lee, 2008), and nuclear pore complex protein Nup93 (BC15), with a range of activities including transcription regulation and chromosome segregation (Ibarra & Hetzer, 2015). A sex-linked marker was also identified near centrosomal protein kizuna (BC12) involved in establishing mitotic centrosome architecture. These genes and chromosomes linked to sex in Arctic Char provide additional information regarding sex determination and heterochiasmy within the salmonids.

### 5 | CONCLUSION

In summary, for population genomics studies, it is important to collect sex information about individual samples when possible in order to (i) control sex ratio in sampling, (ii) overcome the sex-ratio bias observed here that can lead to spurious genetic differentiation signals and (iii) fill knowledge gaps regarding sex-determining systems. If morphological sex is difficult to determine at some life stages, the identification of sex-linked markers for screening samples may provide a useful alternative solution. Here, the exploration of sex-linked markers provided information regarding sexual dimorphism and sex determination in American lobster, as well as sexual dimorphism and sex-linked chromosomes in Arctic Char.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the lobster fishers, the Ekaluktutiak Hunters and Trappers Organization, and the employees of Kitikmeot Foods Ltd without whom this project would have been impossible. We thank G Gerlach for providing the American lobster samples. We are also grateful to Associate Editor Pr. Bernardi and two anonymous referees for their constructive comments and suggestions on a previous version of this manuscript. The NSERC CFRN funded the lobster portion of this research, while Fisheries and Oceans Canada, and the Nunavut Wildlife Management Board funded the Arctic Char part of the work. Access to the Biomina Galaxy server hosted by the Biomina research centre at the University of Antwerp, Belgium, made possible the analysis of the lobster transcriptome data. Funding for the lobster transcriptomics project was provided by the P.E.I. Atlantic Shrimp Corporation Inc. (12-LSC-035) and the Atlantic Lobster Sustainability Measures Program. Sequencing of the lobster transcriptome was performed by Genome Québec. L. Benestan was supported by a doctoral fellowship from NSERC CFRN and Réseau Aquaculture Québec (RAQ) and received funds from LB's Canada Research Chair in Genomics and Conservation of Aquatic Resources.

### DATA ACCESSIBILITY

All the raw reads were submitted to NCBI's Short Read Archive under the Accession nos. PRJNA386492 (for the Arctic char data) and PRJNA281664 (for the lobster data). The quality-filtered genotypes were submitted as vcf files to Dryad (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad. 7dk42). The code used to position Arctic Char anonymous markers on the Brook Char genetic map, combine them with BAYESCAN  $F_{ST}$  values, and draw the Manhattan plots can be found on GitHub at the following link: https://github.com/bensutherland/salp\_anon\_to\_sfon.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

For the American lobster, L.Ber., L.Ben., N.R. and J.A. conceived and planned the study. For the Arctic Char, L.Ber., J.-S.M., L.N.H. and R.F.T. conceived and planned the study. L.Ben. performed all the analyses for the American lobster and Arctic Char, analysed the sex

II FY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

ratio and sex-linked marker effects as well as the BAYESCAN for outlier detection for both species. L.Ben. and J.-S.M. contributed to the joint analyses between American lobster and Arctic Char. B.S. assigned positions to Arctic Char markers and conducted comparative analysis among the salmonid chromosomes. J.L.L. performed SNP filtering and with B.S. performed the BLAST search for Arctic Char sex-linked markers. L.Ben. wrote the paper in collaboration with J.-S.M., B.S. and J.L.L. H.M. participated in proteins annotation and SNPs localization. E.N. helped for bioinformatics. C.R. performed the RAD-sequencing libraries for American lobster. For the Arctic Char, L.N.H. and R.F.T. provided the samples. F.C. and S.J.G. provided the transcriptome data set. All authors contributed to revisions.

#### REFERENCES

- Aljanabi, S. M., & Martinez, I. (1997). Universal and rapid salt-extraction of high quality genomic DNA for PCR- based techniques. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 25, 4692–4693.
- Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Retrieved from http://www.bioinformatics.babraha m.ac.uk/projects/fastqc. Accessed 2012-03-21.
- Andrews, K. R., Good, J. M., Miller, M. R., Luikart, G., & Hohenlohe, P. A. (2016). Harnessing the power of RADseq for ecological and evolutionary genomics. *Nature Publishing Group*, 17, 81–92.
- Araneda, C., Larraín, M. A., Hecht, B. & Narum, S. (2016). Adaptive genetic variation distinguishes Chilean blue mussels (*Mytilus chilensis*) from different marine environments. *Ecology and Evolution*, 6, 3632– 3644.
- Atasaral-Şahin, Ş., Romero, M. R., Cueto, R., González-Lavín, N., Marcos, M., & Diz, A. P. (2015). Subtle tissue and sex-dependent proteome variation in mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) populations of the Galician coast (NW Spain) raised in a common environment (T Knigge, Ed,). *Proteomics*, 15, 3993–4006.
- Bachtrog, D., Mank, J. E., Peichel, C. L., Kirkpatrick, M., Otto, S. P., Ashman, T.-L., . . . The Tree of Sex Consortium (2014). Sex determination: Why so many ways of doing it? *PLoS Biology*, 12, e1001899.
- Bairoch, A., & Apweiler, R. (2000). The SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its supplement TrEMBL in 2000. Nucleic Acids Research, 28, 45–48.
- Barrett, L. W., Fletcher, S., & Wilton, S. D. (2012). Regulation of eukaryotic gene expression by the untranslated gene regions and other non-coding elements. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 69, 3613– 3634.
- Bay, R. A., & Palumbi, S. R. (2014). Multilocus adaptation associated with heat resistance in reef-building corals. *Current Biology*, 24, 2952–2956.
- Bell, G. (1982). The masterpiece of nature. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Benestan, L., Ferchaud, A.-L., Hohenlohe, P. A., Garner, B. A., Naylor, G. P., Baums, I. B., . . ., Luikart, G. (2016). Conservation genomics of natural and managed populations: Building a conceptual and practical framework. *Molecular Ecology*, 13, 2967–2977.
- Benestan, L., Gosselin, T., Perrier, C., Sainte-Marie, B., Rochette, R., & Bernatchez, L. (2015). RAD genotyping reveals fine-scale genetic structuring and provides powerful population assignment in a widely distributed marine species, the American lobster (*Homarus americanus*). *Molecular Ecology*, 24, 3299–3315.
- Benestan, L., Quinn, B. K., Maaroufi, H., Laporte, M., Clark, K. F., Greenwood, S. J., ... Bernatchez, L. (2016). Seascape genomics provides evidence for thermal adaptation and current-mediated population structure in American lobster (*Homarus americanus*). *Molecular Ecol*ogy, 20, 5073–5092.

- Berg, P. R., Jentoft, S., Star, B., Ring, K. H., Knutsen, H., Lien, S., ... André, C. (2015). Adaptation to low salinity promotes genomic divergence in Atlantic Cod (*Gadus morhua* L.). *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 7, 1644–1663.
- Berg, P. R., Star, B., Pampoulie, C., Sodeland, M., Barth, J. M. I., Knutsen, H., ... Jentoft, S. (2016). Three chromosomal rearrangements promote genomic divergence between migratory and stationary ecotypes of Atlantic cod. *Scientific Reports*, *6*, 23246.
- Berthelot, C., Brunet, F., Chalopin, D., Juanchich, A., Bernard, M., Noël, B., ... Guiguen, Y. (2014). The rainbow trout genome provides novel insights into evolution after whole-genome duplication in vertebrates. *Nature Communications*, 5, 3657.
- Boehm, J. T., Waldman, J., Robinson, J. D., & Hickerson, M. J. (2015). Population genomics reveals seahorses (*Hippocampus erectus*) of the Western Mid-Atlantic Coast to be residents rather than vagrants (M Stöck, Ed,). *PLoS ONE*, 10, e0116219.
- Bourret, V., Kent, M. P., Primmer, C. R., Vasemägi, A., Karlsson, S., Hindar, K., ... Lien, S. (2013). SNP-array reveals genome-wide patterns of geographical and potential adaptive divergence across the natural range of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 532– 551.
- Bradbury, I. R., Hubert, S., Higgins, B., Borza, T., Bowman, S., Paterson, I. G., & Bentzen, P. (2010). Parallel adaptive evolution of Atlantic cod on both sides of the Atlantic Ocean in response to temperature. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 277, 3725–3734.
- Brelsford, A., Dufresnes, C., & Perrin, N. (2016). High-density sex-specific linkage maps of a European tree frog (*Hyla arborea*) identify the sex chromosome without information on offspring sex. *Heredity*, 116, 177–181.
- Brieuc, M. S. O., Ono, K., Drinan, D. P., & Naish, K. A. (2015). Integration of random forest with population-based outlier analyses provides insight on the genomic basis and evolution of run timing in Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha). Molecular Ecology, 24, 1–18.
- Broman, K. W., & Sen, S. (2009). A Guide to QTL Mapping with R/qtl, Vol. 46. New York: Springer.
- Bruneaux, M., Johnston, S. E., Herczeg, G., Merilä, J., Primmer, C. R., & Vasemägi, A. (2013). Molecular evolutionary and population genomic analysis of the nine-spined stickleback using a modified restriction-site-associated DNA tag approach. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 565–582.
- Burgess, S. C., Baskett, M. L., Grosberg, R. K., Morgan, S. G., & Strathmann, R. R. (2015). When is dispersal for dispersal? Unifying marine and terrestrial perspectives. *Biological Reviews*, 91, 867–882.
- Cammen, K. M., Schultz, T. F., Rosel, P. E., Wells, R. S., & Read, A. J. (2015). Genomewide investigation of adaptation to harmful algal blooms in common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Molecular Ecology*, 24, 4697–4710.
- Candy, J. R., Campbell, N. R., Grinnell, M. H., Beacham, T. D., Larson, W. A., & Narum, S. R. (2015). Population differentiation determined from putative neutral and divergent adaptive genetic markers in Eulachon (*Thaleichthys pacificus*, Osmeridae), an anadromous Pacific smelt. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 15, 1421–1434.
- Carmichael, S. N., Bekaert, M., Taggart, J. B., Christie, H. R. L., Bassett, D. I., Bron, J. E., ... Sturm, A. (2013). Identification of a sex-linked SNP marker in the Salmon Louse (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*) using RAD-sequencing. *PLoS ONE*, *8*, e77832.
- Catchen, J., Hohenlohe, P. A., Bassham, S., Amores, A., & Cresko, W. A. (2013). Stacks: An analysis tool set for population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 3124–3140.
- Chu, N. D., Kaluziak, S. T., Trussell, G. C., & Vollmer, S. V. (2014). Phylogenomic analyses reveal latitudinal population structure and polymorphisms in heat stress genes in the North Atlantic snail Nucella lapillus. Molecular Ecology, 23, 1863–1873.

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

- Churcher, A. M., Pujolar, J. M., Milan, M., Huertas, M., Hubbard, P. C., Bargelloni, L., ... Canário, A. V. (2015). Transcriptomic profiling of male European eel (Anguilla anguilla) livers at sexual maturity. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology – Part D: Genomics and Proteomics, 16, 28–35.
- Corander, J., Majander, K. K., Cheng, L., & Merilä, J. (2013). High degree of cryptic population differentiation in the Baltic Sea herring *Clupea harengus*. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 2931–2940.
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., ... McVean, G. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*, 27, 2156–2158.
- Davey, J. W., Cezard, T., Fuentes Utrilla, P., Eland, C., Gharbi, K., & Blaxter, M. L. (2013). Special features of RAD-sequencing data: Implications for genotyping. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 3151–3164.
- Davey, J. W., Hohenlohe, P. A., Etter, P. D., Boone, J. Q., Catchen, J. M., & Blaxter, M. L. (2011). Genome-wide genetic marker discovery and genotyping using next-generation sequencing. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 12, 499–510.
- De Wit, P., & Palumbi, S. R. (2013). Transcriptome wide polymorphisms of red abalone (*Haliotis rufescens*) reveal patterns of gene flow and local adaptation. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*, 2884–2897.
- Devlin, R. H., & Nagahama, Y. (2002). Sex determination and sex differentiation in fish: An overview of genetic, physiological, and environmental influences. Aquaculture, 208, 191–364.
- Drywa, A., Poćwierz-Kotus, A., Wąs, A., Dobosz, S., Kent, M. P., Lien, S., ... Wenne, R. (2013). Genotyping of two populations of Southern Baltic Sea trout *Salmo trutta* m. trutta using an Atlantic salmon derived SNP-array. *Marine Genomics*, 9, 25–32.
- Dunn, R. T., Gleason, B. A., & Hartley, D. P. (1999). Postnatal ontogeny and hormonal regulation of sulfotransferase SULT1B1 in male and female rats. *Journal of Pharmacology*, 290, 319–324.
- Faber-Hammond, J., Phillips, R. B., & Park, L. K. (2012). The sockeye salmon neo-Y chromosome is a fusion between linkage groups orthologous to the coho Y chromosome and the long arm of rainbow trout chromosome 2. Cytogenetic and Genome Research, 136, 69–74.
- Ferchaud, A.-L., & Hansen, M. M. (2016). The impact of selection, gene flow and demographic history on heterogeneous genomic divergence: Three-spine sticklebacks in divergent environments. *Molecular Ecol*ogy, 25, 238–259.
- Ferchaud, A.-L., Pedersen, S. H., Bekkevold, D., Jian, J., Niu, Y., & Hansen, M. M. (2014). A low-density SNP array for analyzing differential selection in freshwater and marine populations of threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). BMC Genomics, 15, 867.
- Foll, M., & Gaggiotti, O. (2008). A genome-scan method to identify selected loci appropriate for both dominant and codominant markers: A Bayesian perspective. *Genetics*, 180, 977–993.
- Galindo, J., Grahame, J. W., & Butlin, R. K. (2010). An EST-based genome scan using 454 sequencing in the marine snail *Littorina saxatilis*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 23, 2004–2016.
- Gamble, T., & Zarkower, D. (2014). Identification of sex-specific molecular markers using restriction site-associated DNA sequencing. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 14, 902–913.
- Gleason, L. U., & Burton, R. S. (2016). Genomic evidence for ecological divergence against a background of population homogeneity in the marine snail *Chlorostoma funebralis*. *Molecular Ecology*, 25, 3557– 3573.
- Gosselin, T., Anderson, E. C., & Bradbury, I. (2016). ASSIGNER: Assignment analysis with GBS/RAD data using R. R package version 0.2.6. https://github.com/thierrygosselin/assigner. https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.51453
- Graves, J. (1998). Interactions between SRY and SOX genes in mammalian sex determination. *BioEssays*, 20, 264–269.
- Guo, B., DeFaveri, J., Sotelo, G., Nair, A., & Merilä, J. (2015). Population genomic evidence for adaptive differentiation in Baltic Sea threespined sticklebacks. *BMC Biology*, 13, 19.

- Hesketh, J. (2004). 3'-Untranslated regions are important in mRNA localization and translation: Lessons from selenium and metallothionein. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 32, 990–993.
- Hess, J. E., Campbell, N. R., Close, D. A., Docker, M. F., & Narum, S. R. (2013). Population genomics of Pacific lamprey: Adaptive variation in a highly dispersive species. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 2898–2916.
- Hohenlohe, P. A., Bassham, S., Etter, P. D., Stiffler, N., Johnson, E. A., & Cresko, W. A. (2010). Population genomics of parallel adaptation in threespine stickleback using sequenced RAD tags (DJ Begun, Ed,). *PLoS Genetics*, *6*, e1000862.
- Hughes, J. B. (2014). Variability of chromosome number in the Lobsters, Homarus Americanus and Homarus Gammarus. Caryologia, 35, 279– 289.
- Ibarra, A., & Hetzer, M. W. (2015). Nuclear pore proteins and the control of genome functions. Genes & Development, 29, 337–349.
- Ilut, D. C., Nydam, M. L., & Hare, M. P. (2014). Defining loci in restriction-based reduced representation genomic data from nonmodel species: Sources of bias and diagnostics for optimal clustering. *BioMed Research International*, 2014, 1–9.
- Jackson, A. M., Semmens, B. X., de Mitcheson, Y. S., Nemeth, R. S., Heppell, S. A., Bush, P. G., ... Schärer, M. T. (2014). Population structure and phylogeography in Nassau Grouper (*Epinephelus striatus*), a massaggregating marine fish (GH Yue, Ed,). *PLoS ONE*, 9, e97508.
- Jacobsen, M. W., Pujolar, J. M., Bernatchez, L., Jian, J., Niu, Y., & Hansen, M. M. (2014). Genomic footprints of speciation in Atlantic eels (Anguilla anguilla and A. rostrata). Molecular Ecology, 23, 4785–4798.
- James, M. O. (2011). Steroid catabolism in marine and freshwater fish. The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 127, 167– 175.
- Johnston, S. E., Orell, P., Pritchard, V. L., Kent, M. P., Lien, S., Niemelä, E., ... Primmer, C. R. (2014). Genome-wide SNP analysis reveals a genetic basis for sea-age variation in a wild population of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Molecular Ecology*, 23, 3452–3468.
- Jombart, T., Devillard, S., & Balloux, F. (2010). Discriminant analysis of principal components: A new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. BMC Genetics, 11, 94.
- Jones, F. C., Grabherr, M. G., Chan, Y. F., Russell, P., Mauceli, E., Johnson, J., ... Birney, E. (2012). The genomic basis of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks. *Nature*, 484, 55–61.
- Kafkas, S., Khodaeiaminjan, M., Güney, M., & Kafkas, E. (2015). Identification of sex-linked SNP markers using RAD-sequencing suggests ZW/ ZZ sex determination in *Pistacia vera L. BMC Genomics*, 16, 98.
- Kelley, J. L., Brown, A. P., Therkildsen, N. O., & Foote, A. D. (2016). The life aquatic: Advances in marine vertebrate genomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 17, 523–534.
- Lal, M. M., Southgate, P. C., Jerry, D. R., & Zenger, K. R. (2016). Fishing for divergence in a sea of connectivity: The utility of ddRADseq genotyping in a marine invertebrate, the black-lip pearl oyster *Pinctada margaritifera*. *Marine Genomics*, 25, 57–68.
- Lamichhaney, S., Martinez Barrio, A., Rafati, N., Sundström, G., Rubin, C. J., Gilbert, E. R, ... Grabherr, M. (2012). Population-scale sequencing reveals genetic differentiation due to local adaptation in Atlantic herring. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109, 19345–19350.
- Laporte, M., Pavey, S. A., Rougeux, C., Pierron, F., Lauzent, M., Budzinski, H., ... Bernatchez, L. (2016). RAD-sequencing reveals within-generation polygenic selection in response to anthropogenic organic and metal contamination in North Atlantic Eels. *Molecular Ecology*, 25, 219–237.
- Larson, W. A., McKinney, G. J., Limborg, M. T., Everett, M. V., Seeb, L. W., & Seeb, J. E. (2016). Identification of multiple QTL hotspots in Sockeye Salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) using genotyping-by-sequencing and a dense linkage map. *Journal of Heredity*, 107, 122–133.
- Larson, W. A., Seeb, L. W., Everett, M. V., Waples, R. K., Templin, W. D., & Seeb, J. E. (2014). Genotyping by sequencing resolves shallow

WILEY–MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

population structure to inform conservation of Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha). Evolutionary Applications, 7, 355–369.

- Le Moan, A., Gagnaire, P. A., & Bonhomme, F. (2016). Parallel genetic divergence among coastal–marine ecotype pairs of European anchovy explained by differential introgression after secondary contact. *Molecular Ecology*, 25, 3187–3202.
- Legrand, J. J., Legrand-Hamelin, E., & Juchault, P. (1987). Sex determination in Crustacea. *Biological Reviews*, 62, 439–470.
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennel, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., ... & (1000) Genome Project Data Processing Group. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, 25, 2078–2079.
- Lien, S., Koop, Ben F., Sandve, S. R., Miller, J. R., Kent, M.P., Nome, T., ... Grammes, F. (2016). The Atlantic salmon genome provides insights into rediploidization. *Nature*, 533, 200–205.
- Lischer, H. E. L., & Excoffier, L. (2012). PGDSpider: An automated data conversion tool for connecting population genetics and genomics programs. *Bioinformatics*, 28, 298–299.
- Losada, A. (2014). Cohesin in cancer: Chromosome segregation and beyond. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 14, 389–393.
- Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from highthroughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet Journal*, *17*, 10–12.
- Martínez, P., Viñas, A. M., Sánchez, L., Díaz, N., Ribas, L., & Piferrer, F. (2014). Genetic architecture of sex determination in fish: Applications to sex ratio control in aquaculture. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 5, 152.
- Mascher, M., Wu, S., Amand, P. S., Stein, N., & Poland, J. (2013). Application of genotyping-by-sequencing on semiconductor sequencing platforms: A comparison of genetic and reference-based marker ordering in barley (H Candela, Ed,). *PLoS ONE*, 8, e76925.
- Miller, A. D., Rooyen, A., Rašić, G., lerodiaconou, D. A., Gorfine, H. K., Day, R., ... Weeks, A. R. (2016). Contrasting patterns of population connectivity between regions in a commercially important mollusc *Haliotis rubra*: Integrating population genetics, genomics and marine LiDAR data. *Molecular Ecology*, 25, 3845–3864.
- Moore, J. S., Bourret, V., Dionne, M., Bradbury, I., O'Reilly, P., Kent, M., ... Bernatchez, L. (2014). Conservation genomics of anadromous Atlantic salmon across its North American range: Outlier loci identify the same patterns of population structure as neutral loci. *Molecular Ecology*, 23, 5680–5697.
- Moore, J. S., Harris Les, N., Kessel, S. T., Bernatchez, L., Tallman, R. F., & Fisk, A. T. (2016). Preference for nearshore and estuarine habitats in anadromous Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) from the Canadian high Arctic (Victoria Island, Nunavut) revealed by acoustic telemetry. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 73, 1434–1445.
- Mossman, C. A., & Waser, P. M. (1999). Genetic detection of sex-biased dispersal. *Molecular Ecology*, 8, 1063–1067.
- Moura, A. E., van Rensburg, C. J., Pilot, M., Tehrani, A., Best, P. B., Thornton, M., ... Dahlheim, M. E. (2014). Killer whale nuclear genome and mtDNA reveal widespread population bottleneck during the last glacial maximum. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 31, msu058–1131.
- Narum, S. R., Buerkle, C. A., Davey, J. W., Miller, M. R., & Hohenlohe, P. A. (2013). Genotyping-by-sequencing in ecological and conservation genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 2841–2847.
- Narum, S. R., Gallardo, P., Correa, C., Matala, A., Hasselman, D., Sutherland, B. J. G., & Bernatchez, L. (2017). Genomic patterns of diversity and divergence of two introduced salmonid species in Patagonia, South America. *Evolutionary Applications*, 1–15, https://doi.org/10. 1111/eva.12464
- Nayfa, M. G., & Zenger, K. R. (2016). Unravelling the effects of gene flow and selection in highly connected populations of the silver-lip pearl oyster (*Pinctada maxima*). *Marine Genomics*, 28, 99–106.
- Nugent, C. M., Easton, A. A., Norman, J. D., Ferguson, M. M., & Danzmann, R. G. (2017). A SNP based linkage map of the Arctic Charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) genome provides insights into the diploidization process after whole genome duplication. *G3*: *Genes*|*Genomes*|*Genetics*, 7, g3–g116.

- Ogden, R., Gharbi, K., Mugue, N., Martinsohn, J., Senn, H., Davey, J. W., ... Sergeev, A. (2013). Sturgeon conservation genomics: SNP discovery and validation using RAD-sequencing. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*, 3112–3123.
- Palti, Y., Vallejo, R. L., Gao, G., Liu, S., Hernandez, A. G., Rexroad, C. E. III, & Wiens, G. D. (2015). Detection and validation of QTL affecting bacterial cold water disease resistance in rainbow trout using restriction-site associated DNA sequencing (H Wang, Ed,). *PLoS ONE*, 10, e0138435.
- Pan, Q., Anderson, J., Bertho, S., Herpin, A., Wilson, C., Postlethwait, J. H., ... Guiguen, Y. (2016). Vertebrate sex-determining genes play musical chairs. *Comptes rendus biologies*, 339, 258–262.
- Pavey, S. A., Gaudin, J., Normandeau, E., Dionne, M., Castonguay, M., Audet, C., & Bernatchez, L. (2015). RAD-sequencing highlights polygenic discrimination of habitat ecotypes in the Panmictic American Eel. *Current Biology*, 25, 1666–1671.
- Pecoraro, C., Babbucci, M., Villamor, A., Franch, F., Papetti, C., Leroy, B., ... Cariani, A. (2016). Methodological assessment of 2b-RAD genotyping technique for population structure inferences in yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*). *Marine Genomics*, 25, 43–48.
- Picq, S., McMillan, W. O., & Puebla, O. (2016). Population genomics of local adaptation versus speciation in coral reef fishes (*Hypoplectrus* spp, Serranidae). *Ecology and Evolution*, 6, 2109–2124.
- Poćwierz-Kotus, A., Kijewska, A., Petereit, C., Bernaś, R., Więcaszek, B., Arnyasi, M., ... Wenne, R. (2015). Genetic differentiation of brackish water populations of cod *Gadus morhua* in the southern Baltic, inferred from genotyping using SNP-arrays. *Marine Genomics*, 19, 17–22.
- Prugnolle, F., & De Meeûs, T. (2002). Inferring sex-biased dispersal from population genetic tools: A review. *Heredity*, 88, 161–165.
- Pujolar, J. M., Jacobsen, M. W., Als, T. D., Frydenberg, J., Munch, K., Jónsson, B., ... Hansen, M. M. (2014). Genome-wide single-generation signatures of local selection in the panmictic European eel. *Molecular Ecology*, 23, 2514–2528.
- Pusey, A. E. (1987). Sex-biased dispersal and inbreeding avoidance in birds and mammals. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *2*, 295–299.
- R Development Core Team (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Reitzel, A. M., Herrera, S., Layden, M. J., Martindale, M. Q., & Shank, T. M. (2013). Going where traditional markers have not gone before: Utility of and promise for RAD-sequencing in marine invertebrate phylogeography and population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 2953–2970.
- Ribas, L., Robledo, D., Gómez-Tato, A., Viñas, A., Martínez, P., & Piferrer, F. (2015). Comprehensive transcriptomic analysis of the process of gonadal sex differentiation in the turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, 422, 132–149.
- Rodríguez-Ezpeleta, N., Bradbury, I. R., Mendibil, I., Álvarez, P., Cotano, U., & Irigoien, X. (2016). Population structure of Atlantic Mackerel inferred from RAD-seq derived SNP markers: Effects of sequence clustering parameters and hierarchical SNP selection. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 16, 991–1001.
- Rondeau, E. B., Minkley, D. R., Leong, J. S., Messmer, A. M., Jantzen, J. R., von Schalburg, K. R., ... Koop, B. F. (2014). The genome and linkage map of the northern pike (*Esox lucius*): Conserved synteny revealed between the salmonid sister group and the Neoteleostei. *PLoS ONE*, *9*, e102089.
- Rougemont, Q., Gagnaire, P.-A., Perrier, C., Genthon, C., Besnard, A. L., Launey, S., & Evanno, G. (2016). Inferring the demographic history underlying parallel genomic divergence among pairs of parasitic and nonparasitic lamprey ecotypes. *Molecular Ecology*, 26, 142–162.
- Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R. G., Gharbi, K., Howard, P., Ozaki, A., Khoo, S. K., ... Hoyheim, B. (2000). A microsatellite linkage map of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) characterized by large sex-specific differences in recombination rates. Genetics, 155, 1331–1345.

- Sivasubramaniam, S., Sun, X., Pan, Y.-R., Wang, S., & Lee, E. Y. H. P. (2008). Cep164 is a mediator protein required for the maintenance of genomic stability through modulation of MDC1, RPA, and CHK1. *Genes & Development*, 22, 587–600.
- Sodeland, M., Jorde, P. E., Lien, S., Jentoft, S., Berg, P. R., Grove, H., ... Knutsen, H. (2016). "Islands of Divergence" in the Atlantic Cod genome represent polymorphic chromosomal rearrangements. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 8, 1012–1022.
- Stockwell, B. L., Larson, W. A., Waples, R. K., Abesamis, R. A., Seeb, L. W., & Carpenter, K. E. (2015). The application of genomics to inform conservation of a functionally important reef fish (*Scarus niger*) in the Philippines. *Conservation Genetics*, 17, 239–249.
- Sutherland, B., Gosselin, T., Normandeau, E., et al. (2016). Salmonid chromosome evolution as revealed by a novel method for comparing RADseq linkage maps. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 8, 3600–3617.
- Sutherland, B. J. G., Rico, C., Audet, C., & Bernatchez, L. (2017). Sex chromosome evolution, heterochiasmy and physiological QTL in the salmonid Brook Charr Salvelinus fontinalis. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, g3-117.
- Tepolt, C. K., & Palumbi, S. R. (2015). Transcriptome sequencing reveals both neutral and adaptive genome dynamics in a marine invader. *Molecular Ecology*, 24, 4145–4158.
- Therkildsen, N. O., Hemmer-Hansen, J., Als, T. D., Swain, D. P., Morgan, M. J., Trippel, E. A, ... Nielsen, E. E. (2013). Microevolution in time and space: SNP analysis of historical DNA reveals dynamic signatures of selection in Atlantic cod. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 2424–2440.
- Timusk, E. R., Ferguson, M. M., Moghadam, H. K., Norman, J. D., Wilson, C. C., & Danzmann, R. G. (2011). Genome evolution in the fish family salmonidae: Generation of a brook charr genetic map and comparisons among charrs (Arctic charr and brook charr) with rainbow trout. *BMC Genetics*, 12, 68.
- Woram, R. A., Gharbi, K., Sakamoto, T., Hoyheim, B., Holm, L. E., Naish, K., ... Guyomard, R. (2003). Comparative genome analysis of the primary sex-determining locus in salmonid fishes. *Genome Research*, 13, 272–280.

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

- Wright, S. (1931). Evolution in Mendelian populations. Annals of Eugenics, 16, 97–159.
- Xu, T., Sun, J., Lv, J., Watanabe, H. K., Li, T., Zou, W., ... Qiu, J. W. (2016). Genome-wide discovery of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in deep-sea mussels: Potential use in population genomics and cross-species application. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 137, 318–326.
- Yano, A., Nicol, B., Jouanno, E., Quillet, E., Fostier, A., Guyomard, R., & Guiguen, Y. (2013). The sexually dimorphic on the Y-chromosome gene (sdY) is a conserved male-specific Y-chromosome sequence in many salmonids. *Evolutionary Applications*, 6, 486–496.
- Zhang, B.-D., Xue, D.-X., Wang, J., Li, Y. L., Liu, B. J., & Liu, J. X. (2016). Development and preliminary evaluation of a genomewide single nucleotide polymorphisms resource generated by RAD-seq for the small yellow croaker (*Larimichthys polyactis*). Molecular Ecology Resources, 16, 755–768.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Benestan L, Moore J-S, Sutherland BJG, et al. Sex matters in massive parallel sequencing: Evidence for biases in genetic parameter estimation and investigation of sex determination systems. *Mol Ecol.* 2017;26:6767–6783. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14217